Implicit Bias – And How to Make Good Leadership Decisions
by Mike Valentine, Partners International

Lipmen-Blumen, in her Pulitzer Prize nominated book, *The Connective Edge: Leading in an interdependent World* (1996), argues that to succeed in what she calls the “Connective Era” leadership must be redefined. She qualifies the challenge for today’s leaders as being caught in this moment in history between two antithetical forces: interdependence and diversity, pushing in opposite directions and generating tensions that are transforming the context under which leaders must lead. Lipmen-Blumen refers to these tensions as the hallmark of the Connective Era.

The role of implicit (or unconscious) bias is one of the critical tensions faced in the increasingly dynamic and rapid-change environments of the Connective Era. As many are beginning to realize, bias in the workplace constitutes a significant – and often veiled – reality with powerful implications for organizational performance. It is a phenomenon fueled by globalization and increased diversity in the talent pool, alongside a growing awareness of the biases that create significant barriers to solid decision-making and effectively leveraging diverse talent, as well as inhibiting creativity and innovation. The result of increased awareness and newly urgent dialogue, implicit bias is capturing our attention and gaining recognition as a daunting challenge for complex organizational environments.

Although implicit bias has traditionally been associated with its negative impact on diverse organizational members, the role it plays in leadership thinking likely has much broader implications. Therefore, *and herein lies the punch line*, understanding how to mitigate its negative effects may position your firm for success. This can be achieved by developing capacity in the following three areas: 1) higher levels of decision quality; 2) enhanced creativity; and, not to be discounted, 3) overall organizational trust.

Although definitions vary slightly, implicit bias is associated with attitudes and stereotypes that affect behavior. They are “associations stored in memory”¹, drawn from life experiences² and often activated automatically without individual awareness³. These associations may even contradict an individual’s declared beliefs or values⁴. Most importantly, they can influence both behavior and judgment⁵. Because individuals are generally not aware of their biases, leaders may respond in ways that are counter to their own self-perceived behaviors⁶ and may unknowingly diminish their information processing and decision-making capacity. Consider the shortcuts taken when making a decision within a constrained time-frame. Do you
incorporate all of the information necessary or rely on “gut instincts”? Do key organizational decisions include multiple perspectives or do they predominantly rely on a handful of experiences? The process begins with the following: once a problem or decision emerges implicit bias is the first responder, drawing from past experiences – relevant or not – and seeking the fastest way to action (mental shortcuts). Studies consistently show that mental shortcuts, preferences based on prior experiences, and how leaders evaluate the risk/reward equation are places where bias can significantly influence leader thinking.

Savvy organizations know that success is increasingly dependent on leadership’s ability to promote and enable a climate that encourages and embraces different perspectives; it is a premise supported by important research indicating that higher levels of inclusion positively influence engagement, teamwork, and innovation. Furthermore, a critical and often overlooked connection between implicit bias and organizational success is the way bias influences routine decision-making and good judgment, a process at the heart of the organization’s ability to deliver on its strategy and mission. One need only consider how many hundreds or thousands of decisions occur in pursuit of organizational aspirations, and the impact of poor decision-making quickly becomes apparent.

Key to indentifying the risk and charting a positive path forward is understanding the landscape. Research has consistently shown that the level of bias in an organization is related to how employees perceive the level of inclusiveness (i.e. perceptions of high bias equals perceptions of low inclusivity). This paper makes the argument that the effect of low inclusion levels on decision quality and judgement can have serious repercussions for organizations in the following three key process areas, all of which have powerful downstream impact:

1. Talent Management;
2. Managing complex change; and
3. Team development.

Challenges withstanding, the encouraging news is that implicit biases are measurable; more importantly, they have been shown to be pliable and can be unlearned – news that reflects a highly promising finding in the battle against the repercussions of implicit bias. First and foremost, to combat this phenomenon we must be aware that we are ALL afflicted (this is normal). Regardless of our background, education, or position in the organization, we all have biases that we must attune to and accept in order to manage.
Many scholars on the subject identify raising awareness as a critical first step in making change. One method used to measure individual bias is the Implicit Association Test that is designed to measure relative strengths of associations. Use of this instrument, coupled with Implicit Bias training, can improve awareness and serve as an organization’s first step to identifying harmful behaviors and addressing them before damage is done and opportunities are missed.

Within our new world-order it is crucial that today’s leaders have the awareness, training, and tools needed to mitigate the harmful impact that implicit biases can and do create. Like any behavior change, a commitment to developing awareness, identifying new behaviors and practices, providing support for change, and holding the organization “compassionately” accountable are critical strategies to leveraging organizational talent and finding success in the richly interconnected and increasingly complex work environments of the Connective Era.
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